This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

| D | Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked: | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | ☐ BLACK BORDERS | | | | |
| | ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES | | | | |
| | ☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING | | | | |
| | ☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING | | | | |
| | ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES | | | | |
| | ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS | | | | |
| | ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS | | | | |
| | ☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT | | | | |
| | ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY | | | | |
| | OTHER: | | | | |

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|--|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/044,419 | 01/10/2002 | Scott D. Lincke | 25216-0883 | 1928 | |
| 29989 7: | 590 08/25/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| HICKMAN P | HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP | | JEAN, FRANTZ B | | |
| | 1600 WILLOW STREET SAN JOSE, CA 95125 | | | PAPER NUMBER | |
| SAN JOSE, CA | SALVIOSE, CA 75125 | | 2151 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/25/200 | 4 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | • | Application | on No. | Applicant(s) | ~ | |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| • | • | 10/044,4 | 19 | LINCKE ET AL. | | |
| ā· | Office Action Summary | Examiner | • | Art Unit | | |
| | | Frantz B. | Jean | 2151 | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communi | ication appears on the | cover sheet with t | he correspondence address | | |
| Period fo | • • | | | | | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNITY IS SIZE OF THIS COMMUNITY IN THIS COMMUNITY IS SIZE OF THIS COMMUNITY IN THE SIZE OF THIS COMMUNITY IS SIZE OF THIS COMMUNITY IN THE SIZE OF THIS COMMUNITY IS SIZE OF THIS COMMUNITY IN THE SIZE OF THE S | CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eviunication. 0) days, a reply within the stat stutory period will apply and wwill, by statute, cause the app | ent, however, may a reply utory minimum of thirty (30 ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS dication to become ABANI | be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. I from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1\\\∑ | Responsive to communication(s) file | d on 10 January 200 | 12 | | | |
| | • | 2b)⊠ This action is n | | | | |
| ′= | | • | | prosecution as to the merits is | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the modern closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) 🛛 | Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the a | pplication. | | : | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar | | nsideration. | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | • | : | | |
| · · · | Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | et en | | |
| · · · · | Claim(s) are subject to restric | tion and/or election r | equirement. | · : | | |
| A | on Donovo | | | | | |
| | on Papers | · | | | | |
| - | The specification is objected to by the | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any object | • | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to | b by the Examiner. No | ote the attached O | ffice Action or form PTO-152. | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim | for foreign priority un | der 35 U.S.C. § 1 | 19(a)-(d) or (f). | - | |
| | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | . , , | | | | |
| -, | 1. Certified copies of the priority | documents have bee | en received. | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority | | | lication No. | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies | | | | | |
| | application from the Internatio | • • | | : | | |
| * (| See the attached detailed Office actio | | | ceived. | | |
| | | | • | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t/s) | | | t e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | | |
| _ | ee of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Sum | mary (PTO-413) | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P | PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/M | fail Date | | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/10/2002</u> . 🔋 | PTO/SB/08) | 5) Notice of Infor Other: | mal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/044,419

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

This is a first office action in response to application filed 1/10/2002. Claims 1-18 are presented for examination.

This application is related to application with US serial number 09/321,984, now patent number 6,360,272.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 1/10/2002 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

The change of address filed 12/20/02 has been entered in the file.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains "e.g." language. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Double Patenting

Application/Control Number: 10/044,419

Art Unit: 2151

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,360,272. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application are inherent in the claims of US patent number "272".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 16-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schmidt, Jr. et al. hereinafter "Schmidt" US patent Number 6,778,642.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,419

Art Unit: 2151

As per claim 16, Schmidt provides a unified messaging system that includes a computer program product comprising: a computer usable medium having a computer readable program code embodied therein including an interface to a mail agent on a client (fig 1 col. 4 lines 14-26) and a unifier (UMS), the interface permitting the unifier to access a message on the client (see abstract; col. 5 lines 37-49; col. 5 lines 13-39) and update the view of the message in the mail agent on the client (col. 2 lines 54-65).

As per claim 17, Schmidt interface comprises a messaging application programming interface compliant interface (col. 6 lines 13-39).

As per claim 18, Schmidt discloses a unifier that can selectively update a unified view of a message on a second client using either a high cost communication channel or a low cost communication channel (see abstract; col. 2 lines 30 et seq where Schmidt uses either wire (low cost communication) or wireless (high cost communication) and update (modify) views of messages col. 2 lines 62-65 using either channel discussed above).

Claims 1-15 will be allowed upon applicant filing of a terminal disclaimer.

The following prior art are cited for disclosing pertinent limitation of the claimed invention. Applicant is requested to consider these prior art upon responding to this office action.

Picard et al. 6,233,318 discloses a unified messaging system that provides a multimedia mailbox.

Art Unit: 2151

Pepe et al. 5,742,905 discloses internetwork communication that provides a network subscriber with the ability to remotely control the receipt and delivery of wireless and wireline voice and text messages.

Luzeski et al. 6,301,245 discloses a universal messaging system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantz B. Jean whose telephone number is 703 305 3970. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00 M-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on 703 308-6687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frantz Jean

FRANTZ B. **JEAN** PRIMARY EXAM**INE**R